

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,290	01/20/2006	Cornelis L. G. HAM	PHNL030899US	9787
38107	7590 09/08/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ARANA, LOUIS M	
595 MINER ROAD CLEVELAND, OH 44143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{W}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Autieur Occurren	10/565,290	HAM, CORNELIS L. G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Louis M. Arana	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaskian na svisa sa sat					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 January 2006</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/06</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/565,290 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because all rectangular boxes in Fig. 10, 11 and 12 should be labeled. See 37 CFR 1.83. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,290

Art Unit: 2859

Specification

Page 3

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be in a separate page. The abstract provided is that for the corresponding PCT application. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:The specification (line 7, page 2) should not make reference to the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuderer et al. P.N. 7,027,854 (Fuderer) in view of Murphy et al. P.N. 6,294,915. (Murphy).

Fuderer discloses a method for determining the position of a microcoil in a MRI apparatus. Applicant's attention is directed to Fig. 2a, 2b and 5 and corresponding description. To determine the position of the microcoil or antenna 6 the receiver antenna is employed to acquire magnetic resonance signals from an object 5 to be examined, and a non-selective RF excitation (7, 7a, 7b) is applied followed by at least one temporary magnetic gradient field (8, 10, 11) to generate a receiver response signal from the receiver antenna 6. One of the purposes of determining the position of antenna

Art Unit: 2859

6 is to adjust automatically the FOV as described for example in lines 19-20 of col. 5 and lines 60-62 of the same column.

The only difference between the prior art as represented by Fuderer and the claims at issue, is that Fuderer is silent about moving the patient or object to be examined in accordance with an adjusted FOV. This step however, would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill in the art in view of Murphy. Murphy teaches (see abstract of the disclosure) moving a patient to make for example, a FOV coincide with a magnets isocenter. Note that Fuderer contemplates the use of "synergy" coils.

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Computer programs are non-statutory. See MPEP (IV). The use of the language "A computer readable medium encoded with a computer program" is suggested.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dumoulin and Bornert et al. disclose methods for localizing coils in MR. Note the abstract of each disclosure. Note in particular the pulse sequence of Dumoulin using a non-selective RF pulse.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis M. Arana whose telephone number is (571) 272-2236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. Fridays Off.

Art Unit: 2859

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or \$71-272-1000.

Louis M. Araha Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

lma 8/29/06